1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	C. Brandon Wisoff (SBN 121930) bwisoff@fbm.com Benjamin J. Sitter (SBN 273394) bsitter@fbm.com FARELLA BRAUN + MARTEL LLP 235 Montgomery Street, 17 th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 954-4400 Facsimile: (415) 954-4480 Frederick B. Warder III (admitted pro hac vice) fbwarder@pbwt.com PATTERSON BELKNAP WEBB & TYLER LLP 1133 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036 Telephone: (212) 336-2121 Facsimile: (212) 336-2222 Attorneys for Defendants L'ORÉAL	Jeremy Feigelson (to be admitted pro hac vice) jfeigelson@debevoise.com Jeffrey S. Jacobson (to be admitted pro hac vice) jsjacobson@debevoise.com DEBEVOISE & PLIMPTON LLP 919 Third Avenue New York, NY 10022 Telephone: (212) 909-6000 Facsimile: (212) 521-7479
15	USA, INC. and MAYBELLINE, LLC	
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
17	NORTHERN DIST	TRICT OF CALIFORNIA
18 19	LIAT ORSHANSKY, on behalf of herself and others similarly situated,	CASE NO. 3:12-CV-06342-CRB [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
20	Plaintiffs,	DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFF'S
21	VS.	COMPLAINT
22	L'OREAL USA, INC., a Delaware corporation: MAYBELLINE, LLC., a	DATE: April 19, 2013 TIME: 10:00 a.m.
23	corporation; MAYBELLINE, LLC, a New York limited liability company dba MAYBELLINE, NEW YORK,	PLACE: Courtroom 6, 17th Floor JUDGE: Hon. Charles R. Breyer
24	Defendants.	00202011201100110011001100110011001
25		
26		
27		
28		Com No. 2.12 CM 06242 CM
	[Proposed] Order Granting Defendants'	Case No. 3:12-CV-06342-CF

The motion of Defendants' L'Oréal USA, Inc. and Maybelline, LLC ("Defendants") to dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint or, in the alternative, to request a more definite statement in the above-captioned case came regularly for hearing by the Court on April 19, 2013. Based on the motion, the arguments of counsel and all papers presented to the Court, being duly advised and good cause appearing, the Court hereby grants Defendants' motion. In doing so, the Court rules that:

- (1) Plaintiff failed to plead an injury sufficient to confer standing under the California Legal Remedies Act (Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1750 et seq.), breach of express warranty, breach of implied warranty of merchantability, the California False Advertising Law (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17500 et seq.), the California Unfair Business Practices Law (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200 et seq.), the Song-Beverly Act (Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1790 et seq.), and the Magnuson-Moss Warranty Act (15 U.S.C. §§ 2301 et seq.).
- (2) Plaintiff failed to state a claim upon which relief can be granted under any of these statutes or theories; and
- (3) Plaintiff failed to plead facts sufficient to provide a short, plain statement showing she is entitled to relief and failed to plead her fraud-based claims with particularity as required by Rules 8 and 9 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's Complaint, and each claim thereof, be dismissed.

DATED:

Hon. Charles R. Breyer

United States District Court Judge